

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patont and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Boy 143 Alexandia Juginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/881,493	06/14/2001	Pankaj K. Jha	0325.00482	7913	
21363 7	7590 09/01/2005		EXAMINER		
	IER P. MAIORANA,	PATEL, HARESH N			
24840 HARPER SUITE 100 ST. CLAIR SHORES, MI 48080			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2154		
			DATE MAILED: 09/01/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Supplemental Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

		a/
Application No.	Applicant(s)	//
09/881,493	JHA, PANKAJ K.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Haresh Patel	2154	

	Haresh Patel	2154	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 June 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS API	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, at otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	later than SIX MONTHS from the maili (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final reject	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of example 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Itension and the corresponding amoun shortened statutory period for reply orie In than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of th	
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brio	f will not be entered b	0031150
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	onsideration and/or search (see NC		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.		jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	* **	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphant / monamone	(1.102.02.1).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None.		rill be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>None</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome all rejections under appo	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-14/9) Paper	No(s)	
	LARRY D		

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

The claims 1-20 are rejected with the cited prior arts of the final office action, dated 5/19/2005. Applicant proposed amending the rejected claimed subject matter, with additional limitations, for example, "each of a plurality of first parameters", "for each of the said first parameters", "directly connected to said database", "coupled to said parsing circuit", "coupled to said peripheral blocks", "blocks are configured", "configured to operate on a unique network protocol", etc., which require further consideration and/or search.

For clarification, the disclosure of the Deitz reference discloses the claimed subject matter of the claims 12-17 as follows:

Claim 12: wherein said first circuit is further configured to provided a plurality of frame delineation methods (e.g., col., 25, lines 41 - 57, figure 15, col., 6, line 62 - col., 7, line 24, col., 8, lines 54 - 65) for a plurality of network protocols (e.g., figures 15, 17A, 18A, col., 21, lines 11-24, col., 6, lines 15 - 22, lines 44 - 54).

Claim 13: further comprising an interface (e.g, col., 25, lines 41 - 57, figure 11 and 15, col., 8, line 58 - col., 9, line 24) configured to permit a selection among said frame delineation methods (e.g, col., 33, lines 16 - 34, col., 6, line 62 - col., 7, line 24, col., 8, lines 54 - 65, figure 16).

Claim 14: said second circuit (e.g., figures 11 and 15, col., 21, lines 11 -24, col., 25, lines 41 - 57, col., 8, line 58 - col., 9, line 24) is further configured to provided a plurality of framing methods (e.g., col., 25, lines 4 - 57, col., 6, line 62 - col., 7, line 24, col., 8, lines 54 -65) for a plurality of network protocols (e.g., figures 15, 17A, 18A, col., 21, lines 11 -24, col., 6, lines 15 - 22, lines 44 - 54).

Claim 15: further comprising an interface (e.g., col., 25, lines 41 - 57, figures 11 and 15, col., 8, line 58 - col., 9, line 24) configured to permit a selection among said framing methods (e.g., col., 33, lines 16 - 34col., 6, line 62 - col., 7, line 24, col., 8, lines 54 - 65).

Claim 16: said third circuit (e.g., col., 21, lines 11 - 24, col., 25, lines 41 - 57, figures 11 and 15, col., 8, line 58 - col., 9, line 24) is further configured to delineate a second receive frame (e.g., col., 32, line 50 - col., 33, line 14, blocks 206 - 208, figure 2) from said second network (e.g., col., 21, lines 11 - 24, col., 25, lines 41 - 57, figures 15, 17A, 18A, col., 6, lines 15 -22, lines 44 - 54) to produce said incoming packet (e.g., col., 9, lines 28 - 41).

Claim 17: said first circuit is further configured to frame (e.g., col., 25, lines 41 -57, col., 33, lines 51 - 67, col., 32, lines 50 - col., 33, line 14, col., 6, line 62 - col., 7, line 24, col., 8, lines 54 -65) said outgoing packet (e.g., col., 30, lines 11 - 24, col., 10, lines 11 - 28) to present a second transmit frame (e.g., col., 25, lines 41 -57, col., 33, lines 51 - 67, col., 32, lines 50 - col., 33, line 14, col., 6, line 62 - col., 7, line 24, col., 8, lines 54 -65) to said first network (e.g., figures 15, 17A, 18A, col., 21, lines 11 -24, col., 6, lines 15 - 22, lines 44 - 54).